



Knowledge and Self-care Practices among a Sample of Type II Diabetes in Duhok City: A Cross-Sectional Study

Vian Elyas Haidar* Rajab Hasan Sanaan**

Abstract

Background and objectives: Diabetes is a significant chronic disease with a high prevalence worldwide. The number of diabetic patients is rising, necessitating improvements in both care and treatment. A baseline evaluation of patients' knowledge and self-care habits is required. The study's objectives were to find out diabetic patients' understanding of the condition and its complications and adherence to self-care routines related to type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Methods: This cross-sectional study involved 300 diabetic patients with type two diabetes who were 18 years and older, having the condition for at least three months, and was conducted in Azadi general teaching hospital in Duhok city from April 2022 to September 2022. Patient selection was taken by systematic random method. A questionnaire which was intervieweradministered was used for collecting data.

Results: Patients' age ranged between 31 to 70 years; the majority of them (48.67%) were between the ages of 51 and 60 years. The participants were males (44.67%) and females (55.33%). Concerning knowledge about risk factors of diabetes 224 patients (74.67 %) knew that family history is a risk, while 254 (84.67 %) were unaware of low physical activity is a risk factor. Among the self-care practices, foot care was the most neglected area.

Conclusion: Patients with diabetes mellitus did not demonstrate sufficient levels of knowledge and practice. Carefully targeted interventions are required. Another issue that has to be addressed is the diabetes patients' documented low adherence to physical activity.

Keywords: Knowledge, Self-care practices, Type 2 diabetes.

Introduction

prevalent non-infectious disease worldwide is diabetes mellitus. Low and moderate-income nations bear most of the cost, which has become a substantial public health issue. For the past 20 years, type 2 diabetes mellitus has increased globally,

especially in young people, accounting for 75-90 % of diagnosed cases.² Most likely as a result of an alarming increase in obesity, diabetes has become a worldwide epidemic. Two elements that could be utilized to explain why this disease is spreading more widely are changes in longevity and a lack of progress in healthcare.¹

^{*}M.B.Ch.B; KHCMS Trainee Family Medicine, Duhok/Iraq. Email: vian.e.h.85@gmail.com.

^{**}M.B.Ch.B., MD/Master in General Medicine/ Netherland Board of Family Medicine. Lecturer at University of Duhok - College of Medicine. Email: rrs.2v.2@live.nl Corresponding author: Vian Elyas Haidar



Diabetes is a chronic condition that requires a comprehensive management strategy, with the patient playing a crucial part.³ They must maintain a particular level of physical activity, follow a healthy diet, take care of their feet every day, follow their treatment plan, and manage problems including hypoglycemia episodes.⁴ The risk of complications associated with diabetes, such as hypertension, amputation, kidney eye neuropathy, cardiovascular disease, sexual dysfunction, and skin diseases, must be reduced by effective self-management of type 2 diabetes.⁵ Following dietary and nutritional guidelines, getting enough exercise, taking prescribed medications, and managing stress and weight are all instances of selfmanagement techniques. There is evidence that diabetes sufferers around the world exercise good practices. 6 According to multiple research findings, how people with type two diabetes perceive their illness substantially impacts self-care techniques, their psychological distress, and other health outcomes.⁷ Complex decision-making is required when patients engage in self-care practices; this relationship between illness perception and health outcomes may be explained by the patients' representation of their illness in terms of controllable, understandable, curable, cyclical, illness.8. severity of It has demonstrated that educating patients on diabetes and its complications will enhance treatment compliance and reduce complications.⁹ People with poor health literacy and limited awareness about diabetes complications are more likely to have inadequate glycemic control and a higher risk of complications because they frequently struggle to understand and adhere to medical advice. 10 Understanding diabetes complications are essential for the early identification of warning signs and symptoms, which is required to create and implement adequate preventive interventions to avoid or delay problems.¹¹ This knowledge is crucial for helping healthcare decision-makers and

policymakers develop and implement appropriate clinical and promotional strategies for public health for disease control and prevention. This study aimed to evaluate patients with type two diabetes about knowledge and self-care practices, besides the association of knowledge score with sociodemographic information characteristics, was examined in this study.

Patients and methods

Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus were enrolled in this cross-sectional study in Duhok city's endocrine clinic at Azadi Teaching Hospital. pretested, Α semi-structured close-ended questionnaire was completed for each participant to sociodemographic diabetes-specific information, and self-care practices that were followed by them. Knowledge about treatment complications has been asked as well. The following were checked; smoking habits, inspecting feet daily and inspecting inside of the footwear, monitoring blood sugars as directed by a health care provider, regular drug intake, regular exercise, adhering to a healthy diet, etc. In this study, 300 people with type two diabetes who were 18 years of age or older and had the condition for at least three months or more were enrolled from April 2022 to September 2022. Those with type 1 diabetes, or whose age is less than 18 years were excluded from this study. By using a systematic random method, the patients were selected. The patient's personal information protected throughout the study steps and written consent was obtained from them. A questionnaire which was intervieweradministered was used for collecting data. The type of program used was JMP Pro14.3.0 for descriptive analysis. The Pvalue from the Pearson chi-squared test was used to express the parameters. A P-value of less than or equal to 0.05 was considered statistically significant. To achieve the aim of this study; an agreement from the ethical committee of the Kurdistan Higher Council of Medical Specialties was obtained.



Results

Our patients ranged in age from 31 to 70 years; the majority of them (146 patients) were between the ages of 51 and 60 (48.67 %). Of all patients, 166 were female (55.33 %). Approximately 106 of them were

illiterate, 132 had not completed high school (44 %), and only 21 (7%) had graduated from an institute or a college. Only (87.67 %) had diabetes in their families. The majority of them (55.33%) had a history of diabetes from 1-5 years, as shown in Table (1).

Table (1): General information of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Characteristics (n=300)	Statistics		
Characteristics (n=300)	No.	%	
Age (38-70 years) mean (SD)	51.90	5.27	
Age category			
31-40	6	2.00	
41-50	132	44.00	
51-60	146	48.67	
61-70	16	5.33	
Gender			
Male	134	44.67	
Female	166	55.33	
Education			
Illiterate	106	35.33	
Under high school	132	44.00	
High school graduate	41	13.67	
Institute and college graduate	21	7.00	
Smoking			
No	229	76.33	
Yes	71	23.67	
FH of diabetes			
No	37	12.33	
Yes	263	87.67	
Disease duration (1-20 years) mean (SD)	4.90	2.17	
Disease duration			
1-5 years	166	55.33	
6-10 years	105	35.00	
11-15 years	22	7.33	
16-20 years	7	2.33	

Of all patients, 277 patients (92.33 %) were aware that increased thirst and dry mouth are symptoms, and 253 patients (84.33 %) were not aware that blurred vision is a symptom. Recurrent infections are one of the problems of diabetes mellitus, which about 292 patients (97.33 %) did not know, and 291 patients (97.00 %) did not know

that headache is a sign of diabetes mellitus. Regarding knowledge of diabetes risk factors, 224 patients (74.67 %) were aware that family history is a risk, however, 255 of them (84.6 %) were not aware that inadequate physical activity is a risk factor. Table (2).



Table (2): Patients' awareness of symptoms and risk factors.

Knowledge items (n=300)	Statistics no (%)		
	No	Yes	
Hereditary	45 (15.00)	255 (85.00)	
Signs and symptoms			
Increased thirst and a dry mouth	23 (7.67)	277 (92.33)	
Needing to urinate frequently	24 (8.00)	276 (92.00)	
Tiredness	245 (81.67)	55 (18.33)	
Blurred vision	253 (84.33)	47 (15.67)	
Weight loss	210 (70.00)	90 (30.00)	
Recurrent infections	292 (97.33)	8 (2.67)	
Risk factors			
Aging	97 (32.33)	203 (67.67)	
Obesity	124 (41.33)	176 (58.67)	
Family history	76 (25.33)	224 (74.67)	
Low physical activity	254 (84.67)	46 (15.33)	
High cholesterol	288 (96.00)	12 (4.00)	

Regarding patients' level of self-care, (64 %) of patients did not have regular exercise, only (18%) did not check their blood sugar

level regularly and (71 %), (78%) respectively did not check their feet and inspect inside their shoes daily. Table (3).

Table (3): Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus are evaluated for their level of self-care

Self-care assessment (n=300)	Statistics		
	No	%	
Special diet			
No	127	42.33	
Yes	173	57.67	
Exercise/week			
No	192	64.00	
1- 3 hours	74	24.67	
> 3 hours	34	11.33	
Check blood sugar			
Not checking	89	29.67	
Recommended by your doctor	211	70.33	
Check feet daily			
No	213	71.0	
Yes	87	29.0	
Inspect inside shoes/foot daily			
No			
Yes	234	78.0	
	66	22.0	



Regarding assessment of treatment and complications among the patients, 243 patients (81 %) did not know the side effects of their medications, and only 31 patients (10.33 %) did not take their

medication as recommended by doctors. Of all patients, (95 %) were not aware that diabetes can cause skin problems. Table (4).

Table (4): Evaluation of type 2 diabetes mellitus patients' treatment and complications.

Treatment and complications (n=300)	Statistics no (%)	
	No	Yes
Side effects of medications	243 (81.00)	57 (19.00)
Adherence to medications	31 (10.33)	269 (89.67)
Eye problems	127 (42.33)	173 (57.67)
Heart /Artery problems	116 (38.67)	184 (61.33)
Nerve problems	209 (69.67)	91 (30.33)
Foot/leg problems	106 (35.33)	194 (64.67)
Skin problems	285 (95.00)	15 (5.00)
Gastrointestinal problems	293 (97.67)	7 (2.33)
Kidney problems	53 (17.67)	247 (82.33)
Frequent infections	267 (89.00)	33 (11.00)

Regarding knowledge about treatment and disease complications of patients with type 2 diabetes with different characteristics, there were significant differences among different age groups and different

educational levels regarding the side effects of medications, but the differences were statistically not significant among different genders. Table (5).

Table (5): Knowledge about treatment and disease complications of patients with type 2 diabetes with different characteristics.

Characteristics (n=300)	Management and complications no (%)		a volvo (truo
	Side effects of medications		p-value (two- sided)
	No (n=243)	Yes (n=57)	
Education in age groups:			
31-40	5 (83.33)	1 (16.67)	
41-50	94 (71.21)	38 (28.79)	0.0018
51-60	129 (88.36)	17 (11.64)	
61-70	15 (93.75)	1 (6.25)	
Gender			
Male	104 (77.61)	30 (22.39)	0.1790
Female	139 (83.73)	27 (16.27)	
Education			
Illiterate	100 (94.34)	6 (5.66)	



Under high school	112 (84.85)	20 (15.15)	<0.001
High school graduate	23 (56.10)	18 (43.90)	<0.001
Institute and college graduate	8 (38.10)	13 (61.90)	
mistitute and conege graduate	Skin problems no		p-value (two-
	Skiii problems no	(70)	sided)
	No (n=285)	Yes (n=15)	sided)
Age category			
31-40	5 (83.33)	1 (16.67)	
41-50	124 (93.94)	8 (6.06)	0.4158
51-60	141 (96.58)	5 (3.42)	
61-70	15 (93.75)	1 (6.25)	
Gender			
Male	125 (93.28)	9 (6.72)	0.2204
Female	160 (96.39)	6 (3.61)	
Education		,	
Illiterate	104 (98.11)	2 (1.89)	
Under high school	127 (96.21)	5 (3.79)	< 0.001
High school graduate	40 (97.56)	1 (2.44)	
Institute and college graduate	14 (66.67)	7 (33.33)	
	Gastrointestinal pr		p-value (two-
	1		sided)
	No (n=293)	Yes (n=7)	
Age groups			
31-40	6 (100)	0 (0.00)	
41-50	127 (96.21)	5 (3.79)	0.2420
51-60	145 (99.32)	1 (0.68)	
61-70	15 (93.75)	1 (6.25)	
Gender			
Male	130 (97.01)	4 (2.99)	0.7042
Female	163 (98.19)	3 (1.81)	
Education			
Illiterate	104 (98.11)	2 (1.89)	
Under high school	130 (98.48)	2 (1.52)	0.1546
High school graduate	40 (97.56)	1 (2.44)	
Institute and college graduate	19 (90.48)	2 (9.52)	
Pearson chi-squared tests and Fisher's Exact test were performed for statistical analyses.			

There was a significant correlation between the knowledge about different signs and symptoms and various patient characteristics. Awareness about tiredness was significantly associated with age, gender, and education level. Similarly, the awareness about both blurred vision and recurrent infections was significantly associated with gender and education level. Table (6).

Table (6): Awareness of symptoms and signs among type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with various characteristics.

Characteristics (n=300)	Signs and symptom	ns no (%)	
	Tiredness		p-value (two-sided)
	No (n=245)	Yes (n=55)	
Age category			
31-40	4 (66.67)	2 (33.33)	
41-50	103 (78.03)	29 (21.97)	0.0232
51-60	128 (87.67)	18 (12.33)	
61-70	10 (62.50)	6 (37.50)	
Gender			
Male	102 (76.12)	32 (23.88)	0.0257
Female	143 (86.14)	23 (13.86)	



Education			
Illiterate	93 (87.74)	13 (12.26)	
			<0.001
Under high school	112 (84.85)	20 (15.15)	<0.001
High school graduate	31 (75.61)	10 (24.39)	
Institute and college graduate	9 (42.86)	12 (57.14)	
	Blurred vision no (%)	T ==	p-value (two-sided)
	No (n=253)	Yes (n=47)	
Gender			
31-40	3 (50.00)	3 (50.00)	
41-50	105 (79.55)	27 (20.45)	0.008
51-60	134 (91.78)	12 (8.22)	
61-70	11 (68.75)	5 (31.25)	
Gender			
Male	111 (82.84)	23 (17.16)	0.5214
Female	142 (85.54)	24 (14.46)	
Education			
Illiterate	94 (88.68)	12 (11.32)	0.004
Under high school	114 (86.36)	18 (13.64)	
High school graduate	34 (82.93)	7 (17.07)	
Institute and college graduate	11 (52.38)	10 (47.62)	
	Recurrent infections no (%)	p-value (two-sided)
	No (n=292)	Yes (n=8)	, , , , ,
Age groups		, ,	
31-40	5 (83.33)	1 (16.67)	
41-50	126 (95.45)	6 (4.55)	0.0296
51-60	145 (99.32)	1 (0.68)	
61-70	16 (100)	0 (0.00)	
Gender		(0100)	
Male	131 (97.76	3 (2.24)	0.7355
Female	161 (96.99)	5 (3.01)	
Education		(0.001)	
Illiterate	106 (100)	0 (0.00)	
Under high school	132 (100)	0 (0.00)	<0.001
High school graduate	38 (92.68)	3 (7.32)	
Institute and college graduate	16 (76.19)	5 (23.81)	
Pearson chi-squared tests and Fisher's		\ /	<u>I</u>

Pearson chi-squared tests and Fisher's Exact test were performed for statistical analyses.

Discussion

The majority of the study's participants were between the ages of 51 and 60 years, slightly higher than in the study done by Dinesh.¹ But similar to that seen in a study done in the by Shah.¹² In this study, most participants had diabetes for 1 to 5 years.¹ Among the participants, 35.33 % of them were found to be illiterate, which is higher than in the study by Dinesh who found only 9.5 % of participants to be illiterate, but lower than that found by Shah which found 36.64 % of participants to be illiterate. 12 Patient's level of education has a significant impact on self-care scores and glycemic control, as seen in the study done by Shareef. ¹³Only a few % of the patients who participated in the study had a strong understanding of diabetes, which is a significant finding in the current study. A major barrier to leading a healthy lifestyle may be a patient's lack of understanding about their health state and disease, which is concerning given the high prevalence of diabetes mellitus in Iraq. The findings of our study regarding the participants' knowledge are worse than those of a study conducted by Danesh.¹ The participants' knowledge of the present study was similar to the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES-9) study. 14Little is known about the complications of diabetes in this study, only 57.67 % knew about eve problems. while 30 % of the participants knew about this complication in the study done in Shareef. Their understanding that diabetes can lead to recurring infections was far worse. The results of our



investigation were substantially different from those of the study done by Mehta understanding regarding the complications.¹⁵ In which 82 % participants knew about the disease and its complications. It was observed in this study that the mean composite knowledge score was better in the age group 41-50. This finding is dissimilar to the study done by Danesh¹ They found that the 30-49 age group had a higher mean composite knowledge score. This lack of information suggests that the majority of them have not received adequate education about their illness from primary care doctors and other field-level healthcare professionals. The fact that field workers themselves are unaware of it or unmotivated to educate the public could be one of the causes of the absence of education provisions. A physician's failure in this area could be brought on by the large number of patients they encounter daily and the consequent lack of time for education. In the present study, around 70% of the study participants checked their blood sugar regularly. While monitored their blood sugar regularly compared to a study done in by Danesh.¹ Nearly the same results were observed in other research. 16-18 Checking blood glucose should receive special attention because this is an important way to determine whether the patient's treatment plan is working sufficiently. Regarding foot care, Patients who regularly checked their feet and the inside of their shoes included 29% of the total. However, in the study conducted by Daniesh, 1 Only 0.5 % of them checked it, and fewer than 9 % and 12 %, respectively, checked their feet and the inside of their shoes daily, according to research done by Raithatha.3

Conclusion

Patients with diabetes mellitus did not demonstrate sufficient levels of knowledge and practice. The participants were very poor with regards to the daily checking of feet and inside of footwear and also in adherence to exercise. Raising awareness of diabetes complications among patients and the general public will assist to lessen complications and ease the strain on the healthcare system. Diabetes is a disease that affects both individuals and society as a whole. The family should be involved in such patients' treatment in coordination with the primary healthcare providers, and the primary care physician's role is crucial to enhancing self-care at home.

Conflict of interest

There were no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Dinesh PV, Kulkarni AG, Gangadhar NK. Knowledge and self-care practices regarding diabetes among patients with Type 2 diabetes in Rural Sullia, Karnataka: A community-based, cross-sectional study. J Family Med Prim Care. 2016;5(4):847-52.
- 2. Adam L, O'Connor C, Garcia AC. Evaluating the Impact of Diabetes Self-Management Education Methods on Knowledge, Attitudes and Behaviours of Adult Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Can J Diabetes. 2018;42(5):470-7. e2.
- 3. Raithatha SJ, Shankar SU, Dinesh K. Self-Care Practices among Diabetic Patients in Anand District of Gujarat. ISRN Family Med. 2014; 2014:743791.
- 4. Standards of medical care in diabetes-2013. Diabetes Care. 2013;36 (Suppl 1): S11-66.
- 5. Kugbey N, Oppong Asante K, Adulai K. Illness perception, diabetes knowledge and self-care practices among type-2 diabetes patients: a cross-sectional study. BMC Res Notes. 2017;10(1):381.
- 6. García-Pérez LE, Alvarez M, Dilla T, Gil-Guillén V, Orozco-Beltrán D. Adherence to therapies in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Ther. 2013;4(2):175-94.
- 7. Alzubaidi H, Mc Mamara K, Chapman C, Stevenson V, Marriott J. Medicinetaking experiences and associated factors: comparison between Arabic-speaking and Caucasian English-speaking patients with Type 2 diabetes. Diabet Med. 2015;32(12):1625-33.



- 8. van Puffelen AL, Heijmans MJ, Rijken M, Rutten GE, Nijpels G, Schellevis FG. Illness perceptions and self-care behaviors in the first years of living with type 2 diabetes; does the presence of complications matter? Psychol Health. 2015;30(11):1274-87.
- 9. Murugesan N, Snehalatha C, Shobhana R, Roglic G, Ramachandran A. Awareness about diabetes and its complications in the general and diabetic population in a city in southern India. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2007;77(3):433-7.
- 10. Yeh JZ, Wei CJ, Weng SF, Tsai CY, Shih JH, Shih CL, et al. Disease-specific health literacy, disease knowledge, and adherence behavior among patients with type 2 diabetes in Taiwan. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):1062.
- 11. Afaya RA, Bam V, Azongo TB, Afaya A. Knowledge of chronic complications of diabetes among persons living with type 2 diabetes mellitus in northern Ghana. PLoS One. 2020;15(10): e0241424.
- 12. Shah VN, Kamdar PK, Shah N. Assessing the knowledge, attitudes and practice of type 2 diabetes among patients of Saurashtra region, Gujarat. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries. 2009;29(3):118-22.
- 13. Shareef OH, Ramzi ZS, Abdulla RM. Relationship between self-case behaviors and glycemic control of patients with type 2 Diabetes mellitus in Sluaimani city, Iraq. Biochem. Cell. Arch. 2021;2.
- 14. Mohan D, Raj D, Shanthirani CS, Datta M, Unwin NC, Kapur A, et al. Awareness and knowledge of diabetes in Chennai--the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study [CURES-9]. J Assoc Physicians India. 2005; 53:283-7.
- 15. Mehta RS, Karki P, Sharma SK. Risk factors, associated health problems, reasons for admission and knowledge profile of diabetes patients admitted in BPKIHS. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ). 2006;4(1):11-3.
- 16. Gopichandran V, Lyndon S, Angel MK, Manayalil BP, Blessy KR, Alex RG, et al. Diabetes self-care activities: a community-based survey in urban southern India. Natl Med J India. 2012;25(1):14-7.

17. Ayele K, Tesfa B, Abebe L, Tilahun T, Girma E. Self- care behavior among patients with diabetes in Harari, Eastern Ethiopia: the health belief model perspective. PLoS One. 2012;7(4):e35515. 18. Guo XH, Yuan L, Lou QQ, Shen L, Sun ZL, Zhao F, et al. A nationwide survey of diabetes education, self-management and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes in China. Chin Med J (Engl). 2012;125(23):4175-80.